

Molecular Camouflage: Making Use of Protecting Groups To Control the Self-Assembly of Inorganic Janus Particles onto Metal-Chalcogenide Nanotubes by Pearson Hardness**

Jugal Kishore Sahoo, Muhammad Nawaz Tahir, Faegheh Hoshyargar, Bahar Nakhjavan, Robert Branscheid, Ute Kolb, and Wolfgang Tremel*

One of the goals in current nanoscience is to develop strategies for assembling nanoscale components into large-scale, organized structures with desired properties and functionalities.^[1] However, unlike in molecular chemistry, where bond specificity and directionality are inherent to different types of atoms, nanoparticles mostly interact through symmetric interaction potentials (e.g. electrostatic,^[2] van der Waals,^[3] or dipole–dipole interactions)^[4] and typically assemble into bulk, three-dimensional aggregates^[4,5] or crystals.^[2,4,5] The available methods of directional bonding and assembly at the nanoscale are, to date, limited to either the use of directional organic templates (e.g. DNA,^[6] proteins,^[7] small molecules^[8]) or the formation of topological defects at the “poles” of metal nanoparticles^[8b] or nanorods,^[9] which then assemble into short, linear oligomers. Herein, we show that the toolbox of “nanosynthesis” can be greatly enhanced by extending the all-important chemical concepts of protecting groups and steric hindrance to the nanoscale.

Surface functionalization is a stringent requirement for controlling the assembly of nanoparticles to aggregates with hierarchical structure. Most nanoparticles (metals, metal sulfides, or oxides) can be surface-treated in a straightforward fashion by using established concepts of coordination chemistry. In general surface ligands contain an anchor group (phosphines,^[10] thiols,^[11] carboxylates,^[12] phosphates,^[13] or catecholates^[14]), which strongly attaches to the surface of the respective nanomaterial, and a (long) hydrocarbon or polyether chain, which confers solubility in apolar or polar solvents.^[15] A terminal group (e.g. an amino group) provides

connectivity to additional functional ligands.^[14d] As a result, a dense ligand shell is formed around each nanoparticle, thereby preventing particle aggregation as well as providing chemical protection against oxidation and long-term solvent stability.

Inorganic nanotubes^[16] (NT-MQ₂) and inorganic fullerenes (IF-MQ₂)^[17] of layered metal chalcogenides are the inorganic congeners of carbon fullerenes and nanotubes that exhibit analogous mechanical^[18] and electronic properties.^[19] Their excellent lubrication properties^[20] are related to their crystal structures. In contrast to other inorganic nanoparticles, layered chalcogenide nanotubes (NT-MQ₂ with M = tungsten, molybdenum, niobium and Q = sulfur, selenium) and inorganic fullerenes (IF-MQ₂) have long resisted functionalization by using methods of standard surface chemistry. The inert chalcogenide layers sandwich the metal atoms and provide efficient steric shielding from nucleophilic attack by organic ligands and thus make chalcogenide nanoparticles inert and notoriously difficult to functionalize. While the covalent surface modification of their carbon congeners (fullerenes and nanotubes) is achieved through acid-induced oxidation of the carbon-nanotube surface defects,^[21] the covalent surface functionalization of layered chalcogenides needs anion coordination: metals with a high sulfur affinity, the coordination sphere of which is partially blocked by chelating groups, must serve as “glue” for anchoring organic ligands to the sulfur surface.

This approach has been demonstrated by employing chalcophilic transition metals in combination with multi-dentate surface ligands: The 3d metals “wet” the sulfur surface of the chalcogenide nanoparticles, while the multi-dentate surface ligands partially block one hemisphere of the metal coordination environment. This steric shielding prevents an aggregation of the chalcogenide nanoparticles through interparticle cross-linking.^[22]

An alternative strategy is to attach nanoparticles directly to the chalcogenide nanoparticles.^[23] In this case the sulfur atoms of the chalcogenide particles outcompete the protecting ligand on the nanoparticle surface. The affinity is based on the acid/base properties or Pearson hardness of the incoming nanoparticles,^[24] and the right affinity allows their attachment without the aid of linkers or ligands. It has also been observed that the density of binding of different nanoparticles to the chalcogenide particles is related to the degree of Pearson hardness of their respective metal cations.^[24c]

An interesting group of asymmetric nanoparticles composed of two distinct building blocks are Janus particles.^[25]

[*] J. K. Sahoo, Dr. M. N. Tahir, F. Hoshyargar, B. Nakhjavan, Prof. Dr. W. Tremel
Institut für Anorganische Chemie und Analytische Chemie
Johannes-Gutenberg-Universität
Duesbergweg 10–14, 55099 Mainz (Germany)
E-mail: tremel@uni-mainz.de
Homepage: <http://www.ak-tremel.chemie.uni-mainz.de/>


R. Branscheid, U. Kolb
Institut für Physikalische Chemie
Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, 55128 Mainz (Germany)

[**] We are grateful to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for financial support within the priority program 1165 “Nanowires and Nanotubes”. B.N. is recipient of a Fellowship from the Deutscher Akademischer Austausch Dienst (DAAD). We acknowledge support for the Electron Microscopy Center in Mainz (EZMZ) from the Center for Complex Matter (COMATT).

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201105337>.

Their inorganic counterparts^[26] consist of domains with different Pearson hardnesses, which may allow comparison of reaction behavior based on the Pearson HSAB (hard–soft acid–base) principle with a single particle. Moreover, the perception that anisotropic shape and interactions through chemical “patchiness” are powerful tools for engineering the assembly of specific targeted structures has fuelled the discovery of new chemical, physical, and biosynthetic methods for the synthesis of anisotropic nanoparticles and colloidal building blocks.

Herein we report a synthetic strategy that allows the customized binding of inorganic Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus particles onto WS₂ nanotubes (NT-WS₂) either through their Pt or Fe₃O₄ domains. According to Pearson’s HSAB principle, a hard Lewis acid has the tendency to bind to a hard Lewis base and vice versa.^[27] Thus, in the case of the metal chalcogenides, the soft sulfur surface layer will tend to bind to nanoparticles that contain soft acid cations. The customized binding was achieved by making use of the Pearson hardness of the Janus particles: the soft Pt block (3.5 eV)^[24e] has a higher sulfur affinity than the magnetite face. This binding preference could be reversed by masking the Pt face with an organic ligand (Scheme 1). In the absence of a masking ligand the binding of the Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus particles proceeded preferentially through the Pt face.



Scheme 1. Customized binding of Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus particles onto NT-WS₂ through their Pt and Fe₃O₄ faces and corresponding HRTEM images.

NT-WS₂ nanotubes were prepared by sulfidization of tungsten oxide nanorods with H₂S.^[28] Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus particles were synthesized by a modified one-step method.^[29] Figure 1 shows representative TEM images of NT-WS₂ (Figure 1 a) and Pt@Fe₃O₄ particles (Figure 1 b). The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image in the inset of Figure 1 b reveals the distinct Pt and Fe₃O₄ nanodomains. The oleic acid capping agents make the Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus particles soluble in most nonpolar solvents (hexane, toluene, etc.). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of NT-WS₂ and Pt@Fe₃O₄ are provided in Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information.




Figure 1. TEM images of a) NT-WS₂ and b) Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus particles. The inset in (b) shows a HRTEM image of a single Pt@Fe₃O₄ particle; Pt dark and Fe₃O₄ light contrast.

Functionalized NT-WS₂ was produced by mixing the NT-WS₂ and Pt@Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles in chloroform under strong mechanical shaking. During this process, the Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus particles assembled on the NT-WS₂ surface through ligand exchange. In this process, the oleic acid capping ligands on the surface of the Janus particles are substituted by the surface sulfur atoms of the chalcogenide nanotubes, and the Janus particles bind through their Pt face (Figure 2). The optimum concentration to make a monolayer of Janus particles on NT-WS₂ was found by varying the concentration (1, 0.1, or 0.025 mg mL^{−1}) of Janus nanoparticles while keeping the concentration of NT-WS₂ (1 mg mL^{−1}) constant. The samples were characterized using TEM (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information) without washing away the unbound nanoparticles. These TEM images reveal that the nanoparticles tend to adhere to NT-WS₂ rather than stay in CHCl₃. Upon decreasing the Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus-particle concentration, careful examination of the TEM images shows that the amount of unbound Janus particles decreases to zero at a concentration of 0.025 mg mL^{−1} (Figure S3c in the Supporting Information), which may be considered to be the critical concentration for monolayer coverage. At higher concentrations, free nanoparticles exist in the CHCl₃ solution, while at lower concentrations all nanoparticles were bound to the surface of NT-WS₂. This critical concentration depends on the relative size of NT-WS₂ and of the nanoparticles.

Inorganic Janus particles in general have two chemically distinct surfaces, which can be exploited selectively for the formation of hierarchical assemblies. In the case of Pt@Fe₃O₄ particles the platinum domain may be considered synonymous with other soft coinage metals such as Au, Ag, or Cu,^[30] the surfaces of which can be tailored with thiol ligands,^[31] whereas the surface properties of the magnetite domain are comparable to those of other metal oxide nanoparticles such as MnO, TiO₂, or ZrO₂, which can be tailored with ligands containing suitable anchor groups (e.g. catechol).^[32] Pt is one of the softest metals (Pearson hardness 3.5 eV),^[24e] thus in the absence of any masking ligands the Pt domain will have a higher tendency to bind to the soft sulfur layer of the metal chalcogenide than will the magnetite domain, which contains either hard Fe³⁺ (Pearson hardness of Fe³⁺ 13.1) or intermediate Fe²⁺ (Pearson hardness of Fe²⁺ 7.2 eV).^[24e]





Figure 2. a) Overview TEM image of a WS₂ nanotube having a monolayer with nearly full coverage of Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus particles. b) HRTEM image showing the binding of the Pt face of a single Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus particle to the surface of NT-WS₂. c) STEM (scanning TEM) image showing the quantitative binding of the Pt Janus faces onto NT-WS₂. The histogram in the inset shows the binding ratio of Pt and Fe₃O₄ domains (65:35, average from 70 individual particles).

Owing to the chemically distinctive Janus particle surfaces it is possible to selectively camouflage each Janus face with an organic ligand that facilitates the binding of the other domain to the chalcogenide surface. As discussed above, Pt is one of the softest metals (Pearson hardness 3.5 eV)^[24e] and is more likely to bind to sulfur. We masked the Pt face (or similarly Au, Ag, Cu, etc.) with *O*-[2-(3-mercaptopropionylamino)ethyl]-*O*'-methylpolyethylene glycol 5000 (SH-PEG-OCH₃), a soft thiol anchor group (i.e. replacing the oleic acid originally present on the Pt face), which inverts the binding pattern of the Janus particle: now it binds almost exclusively through the Fe₃O₄ face.^[33] The PEG moiety confers stability to the particle in polar solvents, and—together with the terminal methoxy group—camouflages the Pt domain and facilitates the binding of the Janus particle through its unmasked Fe₃O₄ domain (Scheme 2).

Figure 3a shows a representative TEM image of the Pt@Fe₃O₄/NT-WS₂ nanocomposite, and the HRTEM image in Figure 3b demonstrates the attachment of the Pt@Fe₃O₄ through their magnetite domains. The interlayer distance in NT-WS₂ is 0.63 nm, as reported for other WS₂ nanotubes. The STEM image in Figure 3c shows the preferential orientation of the magnetite domain towards the outer NT-WS₂ sulfur layer, with a magnified image given as an inset (top left); the second inset (bottom right) summarizes the orientation of



Scheme 2. Selective masking of the Pt domain of the Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus particle by the SH-PEG-OCH₃ ligand.




Figure 3. a) TEM image of Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus particles, the Pt domain of which was masked with an organic ligand and immobilized on NT-WS₂. b) HRTEM image showing binding of the Fe₃O₄ magnetite face of the Janus particle to NT-WS₂. c) STEM image showing the preferred binding of the Fe₃O₄ faces to NT-WS₂. The histogram in the inset shows the binding ratio of Pt and Fe₃O₄ domains (Fe₃O₄/Pt 90:10; average from 80 individual particles).

different Janus domains with respect to the outer NT-WS₂ layers. Now more than 90% of the Janus particles stick to the NT-WS₂ sidewalls through their magnetite domains. This unprecedented result can be explained by the masking of the Pt domains which enforces binding through the Fe₃O₄ domains. The corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectrum (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information) confirms the composition of the nanocomposites (W, S, Pt, Fe, and O). The presence of carbon and copper can be attributed to the carbon-coated copper grids used for electron microscopy. Moreover, the magnetic domains of the Janus nanoparticles can be reversibly detached from the NT-WS₂ surfaces by adding competing ligands that contain catechol groups. In contrast, the binding of the Pt domain to NT-WS₂ surfaces is irreversible, as is the reported binding of Au domains. A study

revealing the binding of gold nanoparticles chemisorbed onto NT-WS₂ surfaces by Tenne and co-workers showed a strong aggregation of Au nanoparticles and NT-WS₂. We expect a similar trend for Pt and NT-WS₂ because of the similar Pearson hardness of Au and Pt (3.5 eV).^[33]

In summary, we have demonstrated that the hierarchical assembly of Pt@Fe₃O₄ Janus particles on WS₂ nanotubes is dictated by the principles of Pearson's HSAB concept, that is, Pt is the preferred binding partner over Fe₃O₄. However, the preferential binding of Fe₃O₄ domains can be enhanced by protecting^[34] the Pt face of the Janus particle with an organic ligand. The assembly of the Pt@Fe₃O₄ particles onto WS₂ nanotubes in CHCl₃ solution can be quantitatively controlled by varying the particle concentration below a critical value. Owing to the magnetism of the magnetite domain, Pt@Fe₃O₄/NT-WS₂ can be retrieved from solution with a permanent magnet (Figure S5 in the Supporting Information). The Pt@Fe₃O₄/NT-WS₂ aggregates reported herein may be viewed as molecular analogues of metal oxide gated layered chalcogenide transistors.^[35] Traditional metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) structures are obtained by growing a layer of metal oxide on top of a semiconductor substrate and depositing a layer of metal (or silicon). Recently, Kis and co-workers^[35] used a HfO₂ gate dielectric to demonstrate room-temperature single-layer MoS₂ mobility, similar to that of graphene nanoribbons, and to demonstrate transistors with room-temperature on/off current and ultralow standby power dissipation. Chalcogenide nanotubes or graphene layers "gated" by metal oxide particles (such as Fe₃O₄, Fe₂O₃, or Al₂O₃) epitaxially connected to conductive metals (such as Pt or Au) might therefore exhibit interesting properties that require thin transparent semiconductors, such as optoelectronics and energy harvesting.

Received: July 29, 2011

Published online: October 26, 2011

Keywords: Janus particles · nanostructures · nanotubes · protecting groups · surface chemistry

- [1] a) G. M. Whitesides, B. Grzybowski, *Science* **2002**, 295, 2418; b) D. V. Talapin, J.-S. Lee, M. V. Kovalenko, E. V. Shevchenko, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, 110, 389–458.
- [2] A. M. Kalsin, M. Fialkowski, M. Paszewski, S. K. Smoukov, K. J. M. Bishop, B. A. Grzybowski, *Science* **2006**, 312, 420.
- [3] P. C. Ohara, D. V. Leff, J. R. Heath, W. M. Gelbart, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1995**, 75, 3466.
- [4] R. Klajn, K. J. M. Bishop, B. A. Grzybowski, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2007**, 104, 10305.
- [5] E. V. Shevchenko, D. V. Talapin, N. A. Kotov, S. O'Brien, C. B. Murray, *Nature* **2006**, 439, 55.
- [6] a) A. P. Alivisatos, K. P. Johnsson, X. Peng, T. E. Wilson, C. J. Loweth, M. P. Bruchez, P. G. Schultz, *Nature* **1996**, 382, 609; b) C. A. Mirkin, R. L. Letsinger, R. C. Mucic, J. J. Storhoff, *Nature* **1996**, 382, 607.
- [7] a) E. Katz, I. Willner, *Angew. Chem.* **2004**, 116, 6166; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, 43, 6042; b) M. H. Hu, L. P. Qian, R. P. Brinas, E. S. Lyman, J. F. Hainfeld, *Angew. Chem.* **2007**, 119, 5203; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 5111.
- [8] a) J. P. Novak, D. L. Feldheim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, 122, 3979; b) G. A. DeVries, M. Brunnbauer, Y. Hu, A. M. Jackson, B. Long, B. T. Neltner, O. Uzun, B. H. Wunsh, F. Stellacci, *Science* **2007**, 315, 358.
- [9] a) K. K. Caswell, J. N. Wilson, U. H. F. Bunz, C. J. Murphy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 13914; b) R. Sardar, J. S. Shumaker-Parry, *Nano Lett.* **2008**, 8, 731.
- [10] E. Ramirez, S. Jansat, K. Philippot, P. Lecante, M. Gomez, A. M. Masdeu-Bulto, B. Chaudret, *Organomet. Chem.* **2004**, 689, 4601.
- [11] a) M. Brust, M. Walker, D. Bethell, D. J. Schiffrin, R. Whyman, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 801; b) M. N. Tahir, P. Theato, W. E. G. Müller, H. C. Schröder, A. Janshoff, J. Zhang, J. Huth, W. Tremel, *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 2848; c) M. N. Tahir, P. Theato, W. E. G. Müller, H. C. Schröder, A. Borejko, S. Faiß, A. Janshoff, J. Huth, W. Tremel, *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 5533.
- [12] a) B. O'Regan, M. Grätzel, *Nature* **1991**, 353, 737; b) H. Jiang, K.-S. Moon, Y. Li, C. P. Wong, *Chem. Mater.* **2006**, 18, 2969.
- [13] a) A. Subbiah, D. Pyle, A. Rowland, J. Huang, R. A. Narayanan, P. Thiagarajan, J. Zon, A. Clearfield, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 10826; b) N. Adden, L. J. Gamble, D. G. Castner, A. Hoffmann, G. Gross, H. Menzel, *Langmuir* **2006**, 22, 8197.
- [14] a) J. H. Waite, M. L. Tanzer, *Science* **1981**, 212, 1038; b) W. A. Armstrong, P. K. Mascharak, R. H. Holm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, 104, 4373; c) C. Xu, K. Xu, H. Gu, R. Zheng, H. Liu, X. Zhang, Z. Guo, B. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 9938; d) M. N. Tahir, M. Eberhardt, P. Theto, S. Faiß, A. Janshoff, T. Gorelik, U. Kolb, W. Tremel, *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, 118, 922; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, 45, 908.
- [15] M. Bartz, J. Küther, G. Nelles, N. Weber, R. Seshadri, W. Tremel, *J. Mater. Chem.* **1999**, 9, 1121.
- [16] a) L. Margulis, G. Salitra, R. Tenne, M. Talianker, *Nature* **1993**, 365, 113; b) A. Yella, E. Mugnaioli, M. Panthöfer, H. A. Therese, U. Kolb, W. Tremel, *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, 121, 6546; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 6426; c) C. N. R. Rao, A. Govindaraj, *Adv. Mater.* **2009**, 21, 4208.
- [17] a) Y. Feldman, E. Wasserman, D. J. Srolovitz, R. Tenne, *Science* **1995**, 267, 222; b) R. Tenne, M. Homyonfer, Y. Feldman, *Chem. Mater.* **1998**, 10, 3225; c) W. Tremel, *Angew. Chem.* **1999**, 111, 2311; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, 38, 2175; d) M. Nath, C. N. R. Rao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, 123, 4841; e) R. Tenne, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2006**, 1, 103.
- [18] a) Y. R. Hacohen, E. Grunbaum, R. Tenne, J. Sloan, J. L. Hutchison, *Nature* **1998**, 395, 336; b) A. Q. Zhu, T. Sekine, Y. H. Li, W. X. Wang, M. Y. Fay, H. Edwards, P. D. Brown, N. Fleischer, R. Tenne, *Adv. Mater.* **2005**, 17, 1500; c) I. Kaplan-Ashiri, S. R. Cohen, K. Gartsman, R. Rosentsveig, V. Ivanovskaya, T. Heine, G. Seifert, H. D. Wagner, R. Tenne, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2006**, 103, 523.
- [19] a) L. Scheffer, R. Rosentzveig, A. Margolin, R. Popovitz-Biro, G. Seifert, S. R. Cohen, R. Tenne, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2002**, 4, 2095; b) M. Nath, S. Kar, A. K. Raychaudhuri, C. N. R. Rao, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, 368, 690.
- [20] a) F. Hulliger, *Structural Chemistry of the Layer-Type Phases* (Ed.: F. Levy), Reidel, Dordrecht, **1976**; b) A. Katz, M. Redlich, L. Rapoport, H. D. Wagner, R. Tenne, *Tribol. Lett.* **2006**, 21, 135; c) J. Tannous, F. Dassenoy, B. Vacher, T. Le Mogne, A. Bruhacs, W. Tremel, *Tribol. Lett.* **2011**, 41, 55.
- [21] A. Hirsch, O. Vostrowsky, *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2005**, 245, 193.
- [22] a) M. N. Tahir, M. Eberhardt, N. Zink, H. A. Therese, U. Kolb, P. Theato, W. Tremel, *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, 118, 4927; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, 45, 4809; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, 45, 4809; b) M. N. Tahir, A. Yella, J. K. Sahoo, F. Natalio, U. Kolb, F. Jochum, P. Theato, W. Tremel, *Isr. J. Chem.* **2010**, 50, 500; c) J. K. Sahoo, M. N. Tahir, A. Yella, R. Branscheid, U. Kolb, W. Tremel, *Langmuir* **2011**, 27, 385.
- [23] J. K. Sahoo, M. N. Tahir, A. Yella, T. D. Schladt, E. Mugnaioli, U. Kolb, W. Tremel, *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, 122, 7741; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, 49, 7578.

- [24] a) R. G. Pearson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, *85*, 3533; b) R. G. Pearson, *J. Chem. Educ.* **1968**, *45*, 581; c) R. G. Pearson, *J. Chem. Educ.* **1968**, *45*, 643; d) R. G. Parr, R. G. Pearson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 7512; e) R. G. Pearson, *Chemical Hardness. Applications from Molecules to Solids*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **1997**.
- [25] a) A. Perro, S. Reculusa, S. Ravaine, E. Bourgeat-Lami, E. Duguet, *J. Mater. Chem.* **2005**, *15*, 3745; b) A. Walther, A. H. E. Müller, *Soft Matter* **2008**, *4*, 663; c) F. Wurm, A. F. M. Kilbinger, *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, *121*, 8564; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 8412; d) Q. Chen, J. K. Whitmer, S. Jiang, S. Chul Bae, E. Luijten, S. Granick, *Science* **2011**, *331*, 199.
- [26] a) T. Teranishi, M. Saruyama, M. Kanehara, *Nanoscale* **2009**, *1*, 225; b) L. Carbone, P. D. Cozzoli, *Nano Today* **2010**, *5*, 449.
- [27] F. Umland, G. Wünsch, *Charakteristische Reaktionen Anorganischer Stoffe*, 2nd ed., Aula Verlag, Wiesbaden, **1991**.
- [28] H. A. Therese, J. Li, U. Kolb, W. Tremel, *Solid State Sci.* **2005**, *7*, 67.
- [29] C. Wang, H. Daimon, S. Sun, *Nano Lett.* **2009**, *9*, 1493.
- [30] a) H. Yu, M. Chen, P. M. Rice, S. X. Wang, R. L. White, S. Sun, *Nano Lett.* **2005**, *5*, 379; b) G. Lopes, J. M. Vargas, S. K. Sharma, F. Beron, K. R. Pirota, M. Knobel, C. Rettori, R. D. Zysler, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, *114*, 10148; c) B. Nakhjavan, M. N. Tahir, H. Gao, T. Schladt, K. Schneider, F. Natalio, I. Ament, R. Branscheid, S. Weber, H.-C. Schröder, W. E. G. Müller, U. Kolb, C. Sönnichsen, L. M. Schreiber, W. Tremel, *J. Mater. Chem.* **2011**, *21*, 8605.
- [31] a) C. Xu, J. Xie, D. Ho, C. Wang, N. Kohler, E. G. Walsh, J. R. Morgan, Y. E. Chin, S. Sun, *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 179; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 173; b) T. D. Schladt, M. I. Shukoor, M. N. Tahir, F. Natalio, K. Schneider, I. Ament, J. Becker, F. Jochum, S. Weber, P. Theato, L. M. Schreiber, C. Sönnichsen, H. C. Schröder, W. E. G. Müller, W. Tremel, *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 4068; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 3976.
- [32] a) T. D. Schladt, K. Schneider, M. I. Shukoor, F. Natalio, M. N. Tahir, S. Weber, L. M. Schreiber, H. C. Schröder, W. E. G. Müller, W. Tremel, *J. Mater. Chem.* **2010**, *20*, 8297; b) M. N. Tahir, P. Theato, P. Oberle, G. Melnyk, U. Kolb, M. Stepputat, W. Tremel, *Langmuir* **2006**, *22*, 5209.
- [33] a) J. K. Sahoo, M. N. Tahir, A. Yella, T. D. Schladt, S. Pfeiffer, B. Nakhjavan, E. Mugnaioli, U. Kolb, W. Tremel, *Chem. Mater.* **2011**, *23*, 3534; b) C. Shahar, R. Levi, S. R. Cohen, R. Tenne, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *1*, 540.
- [34] J. Küther, R. Seshadri, G. Nelles, H.-J. Butt, W. Assenmacher, W. Mader, W. Tremel, *Chem. Mater.* **1999**, *11*, 1317.
- [35] B. Radisavljevic, A. Radenovic, J. Brivio, V. Giacometti, A. Kis, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2011**, *6*, 147.